



Cliff's Perspective

Fixed Income Fantasies

December 08, 2017

My last few entries highlighted some recent AQR papers with hopefully some interesting commentary. I know it's a little weak to do that again so soon, but some of the papers are just too cool not to opine on. I have a particular weakness for pricking the bubble of conventional wisdom, and, much geekier, for arguments that hinge on the subtleties and great value of true diversification. [This one](#) has got it all, and I couldn't resist discussing it.

There is a common belief that goes something like this: "Sure active stock picking doesn't work, but in fixed income, active management really shines." Various reasons are given for this including the notion that fixed income is, for some reason, a less efficient market or that the benchmarks are worse investments. In other words, fixed income is ripe for the value-add that comes from traditional active management.

The problem is that any perceived alpha has been, at least to a great degree, due to a passive long-term overweight of credit.¹ If we found equity managers out-performed largely because they were strategically, not tactically, higher beta than their benchmarks, would you get excited and pay a lot for that? I hope not. For fixed income managers, the credit exposure doesn't necessarily eliminate all of their alpha, but once you account for the credit exposure, fees and other simple factor exposures (for example, short volatility exposure) there may not be much left.

It gets worse. The reason most invest in fixed income is because it's a positive expected return asset that offers diversification from equity risk, which dominates most investors' portfolios. Well, credit is the part of fixed income that is highly correlated with equities. As a result, not only is there less alpha than people think, the whole premise for investing in fixed income is compromised on account of the average² active manager's passive overweight to credit as it non-trivially raises fixed income's correlation to equities.

So, once again fans of active management are just wrong, and Jack Bogle is just right.

Except... Yeah, except for the stuff AQR and other quants believe in (I know, there he goes again). We do find, again much like in equities, expensive traditional active fixed income management is a bust; however, the same factors we find effective elsewhere (value, momentum, carry, defensive/quality)³ [show up in bonds](#). So, not surprisingly, we think there is hope, but it's not because "active management works in bonds," but because "the same basic things that work everywhere also work in bonds." Basically, don't go traditional "active" in fixed income, go factor (and make sure the fees fit that!). That's your self-serving message from me for the day.

[1] "Passive" is important here. We don't mean they've added alpha from going long credit (or other exposures highly correlated with credit) when it was particularly smart to do so. We mean they have a persistent long exposure to credit. You don't need to pay active fees for one-time "take more risk" decisions.

[2] I mention the "average" here to give an overall sense of what managers are doing. Obviously, that doesn't mean that every active portfolio shares this characteristic, and we're talking averages, so, of course, there may be many good ones, but the paper goes further to show that the persistent long credit exposure is pretty pervasive across the universe. Please see the paper for further description of average active manager.

[3] See, for example, ["Investing with Style,"](#) *Journal of Investment Management* (2015) by Asness, Ilmanen, Israel, Moskowitz.

To read other posts by Cliff Asness or to subscribe to future posts, please visit www.aqr.com/cliffs-perspective

The views and opinions expressed herein are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of AQR Capital Management, LLC, its affiliates or its employees.

This document has been provided to you solely for information purposes and does not constitute an offer or solicitation of an offer or any advice or recommendation to purchase any securities or other financial instruments and may not be construed as such.

The investment strategy and themes discussed herein may be unsuitable for investors depending on their specific investment objectives and financial situation. Diversification does not eliminate the risk of experiencing investment losses.

Please note that changes in the rate of exchange of a currency may affect the value, price or income of an investment adversely.

The factual information set forth herein has been obtained or derived from sources believed by the author and AQR Capital Management, LLC ("AQR") to be reliable but it is not necessarily all-inclusive and is not guaranteed as to its accuracy and is not to be regarded as a representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the information's accuracy or completeness, nor should the attached information serve as the basis of any investment decision.

Information contained on third party websites that AQR Capital Management, LLC, ("AQR") may link to are not reviewed in their entirety for accuracy and AQR assumes no liability for the information contained on these websites.

No part of this material may be reproduced in any form, or referred to in any other publication, without express written permission from AQR.